## ASA/AICPA Joint Business Valuation Conference

Las Vegas - November 14, 2005

Scott A. Nammacher, ASA, CFA

Managing Director<br>Empire Valuation Consultants, LLC<br>Email: ScottN@Empireval.com

## Agenda

- Overview
> Approaches
$>$ Standards, Levels and Core Premises of Value
- Methods and Paths To Value
- Capitalization of Income Method
- Discounted Cash Flows Method
- Premiums \& Discounts

Appendix

## Primary Approaches

- Income Approach
> Capitalization of Historical Income/Cash Flows
> Discounted Future Income/Cash Flows (DCF)
- Market Approach
> Guideline Companies
> Guideline Transactions/M\&A Method
- Asset Approach
> Asset by Asset Revaluation
> Collective Revaluation (Excess Earnings Method)
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## Income Approach Methods

- Capitalization of Normalized Income/Cash Flows
> Converts a single "normalized" base economic income number to a value by dividing it by a "capitalization rate"
> Focus should be on cash flow
- Discounted Future Income/Cash Flows (DCF)
> Explicitly projects economic income/cash flow into the future until can "normalize" and capitalize a final year's base number
> Focus on cash flow - full financial projections best


## Two Main Standards of Value

- Fair Market Value
"The price at which the property would change hands between a willing buyer and a willing seller when neither is acting under any compulsion to buy or sell and both have reasonable knowledge of the relevant facts."
- Fair Value
$>$ GAAP accounting: FMV at $100 \%$ control value.
> Litigation: The pro rata share of the enterprise value or asset value, with or without consideration for marketability of the asset/interest. Definition varies from state to state in litigation situations.


## Basic Levels of Value for Equity Interests



Discount for lack of marketability

Non-marketable, Minority Interest
\$14 p/share
\$10 p/share
$\$ 6.50 \mathrm{p} /$ share
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## Core Valuation Concept

- Value is a Function of Expected Future Economic Returns = FORWARD LOOKING
> Timing of These Returns (assume annual)
> Size of Returns (\$ measure and growth)
> Risk Related to Returns (required rate of return)
- Future Returns are Discounted to a Present Value
> Time Value of Money Concept
- Implicitly by Capitalization Method
- Explicitly by DCF Method
- Should Generate Similar Values
> DCF should be close to other capitalization models, market and asset (except in liquidation) models, all things being consistent
> Wide divergences of value should prompt revisiting inputs for potentially inconsistent assumptions across methods


## Core Concept: Time Value of Money

- Basic Example:
> Investor puts $\$ 1,000$ in bank for 2 years
> $\$ 1,000$ grows at rate of interest of $7 \% /$ year
> Investor gets $\$ 1,144.90$ back after 2 years
- $1,000 \mathrm{X} 1.07=1,070 \mathrm{X} 1.07=1,144.90$
> Willing to pay present value of $\$ 1,000$ today to get $\$ 1,145$ in 2 years if the risk of getting it is fairly priced at $7 \%$
- Formula for Stream of Economic Income (for a specific number of years):

$$
P V=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E_{i}}{(1+k)^{i}}
$$

$P V=$ Present value
$k=$ Required rate of return
$n=$ Last period returns expected
$E_{i}=$ Economic income in each period
$i_{n}=$ Time periods return is expected
$\sum_{i=1}^{n}=$ Sum of returns over $n$ periods
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## Time Value of Money (cont'd)

- Perpetual, Flat Income Stream Formula (preferred stock dividend)
> Prior Formula Converts to:

$$
\begin{array}{ll} 
& \boldsymbol{P V}=\boldsymbol{E}_{\boldsymbol{1}} / \boldsymbol{k} \\
\text { Where: } & k=\text { discount rate (required return) on } E \\
& E_{1}=\text { next year's economic income }
\end{array}
$$

- Where Growth in Income Expected
> Subtract Growth Factor from Denominator
> Converts to "Gordon Growth" Model:

$$
P V=E_{0}(1+g) /(k-g)
$$

Where: $E_{0}=$ Earnings base today
$g=$ long-term expected growth in income/cash flows
$(k-g)=$ referred to as "Capitalization Rate"
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## Agenda

- Overview
- Paths to Value
> Direct to Equity
> Debt Free
- Capitalization of Income Method
- Discounted Cash Flow Method
- Premiums \& Discounts

Appendix
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## Two "Paths" to Value: Building Example

- Difference Between Sale Price and Equity Value

Sale Price in Total Based on Op CF

| Interest Bearing |
| :--- | :--- |
| Debt_ $\$ 250$ _ |

Total Invested Capital

Value Equity Directly based
On Net Equity Cash Flow


Direct to Equity
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## Annual Cash Flows By "Path"

Value of Annual Operating Free Cash Flows


Total Invested Capital Cash Flows
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## Example: Net Cash Flow to Equity

- Traditionally: Net income (after interest exp. \& taxes)
> Plus: deprec., amort., \& non-cash charges
> Less: working capital changes +/-
> Less: capital expenditures
> Plus: new debt incurred
> Less: principal repayments
- Note: If assume growth in total value in future and a constant debt to total value ratio - debt grows too and provides net positive cash flow!


## Example: To Total Invested Capital - TIC

- Net Income
> Plus: deprec., amort., \& non-cash charges
> Less: working capital changes $+/-$
> Less: capital expenditures
> Plus: interest expense (after tax cost)
> Note: Can also tax effect EBIT to get "debt-free" NI
- Equals: cash flow available to debt \& equity holders (or net operating cash flows)
- To determine equity...subtract debt


## Agenda

- Overview
- Paths to Value
- Capitalization of Income Method
> Cash flow base
> Working Capital \& Depreciation Issues
> Growth
> Discount Rates
- Discounted Cash Flow Method
- Premiums \& Discounts

Appendix
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## Income Approach - Capitalization Method

- Capitalization Method Converts a Single Normalized Cash Flow "Base" ( $E$ ) into a Value for All Future Cash Flows
- Process
> Determine Normalized Base (E)
> Determine Expected Long-term Growth in Cash Flows ( $g$ )
> Determine Required Rate of Return by Investors ( $k$ )
> Apply Valuation Model - "Capitalization Model"


## Capitalization Example: Direct to Equity

|  | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 | Historical Financials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$625.0 | \$650.0 | \$676.0 | Income Statement (Net of Interest \& Taxes) |
| Operating Inc. 19.9\% | \$124.7 | \$129.6 | \$134.8 |  |
| A/Tax Net Inc. | \$64.1 | \$66.6 | \$69.3 |  |
| Weightings | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Weighted Average NI |  |  | \$67.6 |  |
| Weighed Avg. Depreciation Exp. |  |  | \$53.2 | Cash Flow Items <br> Based On Calculated <br> Estimates |
| Cap. Exp. Normalized | $07 \%)$ |  | (\$56.9) |  |
| Working Cap. Normalized to 4\% Growth Projected Principal Growth (4\%) |  |  | (\$3.0) |  |
|  |  |  | $\frac{\$ 10.8}{\$ 71.6}$ |  |

Weighted Avg. Cash Flow: $\$ 71.6$ "Normalized" Cash Flow Base

| Long-term Growth Rate (g): | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | Growth Rate |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Cost of Equity (k): | $\mathbf{2 0 \%}$ | Discount Rate |

Value of Equity [1]: $\$ 466 \quad$ Unadjusted Value
[1] Capitalization Model: Gordon Growth Model: CF X $(1+\mathrm{g}) /(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{g})$ CMPIRE

## Cash Flow Base

- Determines $100 \%$ of value (before adjustments)
- Base should be "normalized" and adjusted to level of value being determined (control vs minority)
- Non-operating assets/liabilities impacts (expense/income) removed if treated separately
- Tax effecting
- Historical fluctuations if not properly normalized have major impacts on value
> Income base (to match "Path to Value")
> Depreciation to capital expenditures
> Working capital needs
> Debt service (if appropriate)
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## Cash Flow Base: Level of Value Adj.

- Minority interest level of value adjustments
> Normalize for historical items that will not continue/recur in future
> Normalize for excessive owner-officer compensation (if appropriate)
- Controlling interest level adjustments
> All of the above adjustments
$>$ Streamlining of operations (if appropriate)
> Synergistic Adjustments (if appropriate)
- Consolidation savings
- Lower financing costs
- Wider or deeper product sales channels, etc.


## Cash Flow Base: Historical Weightings

- Determine appropriate weightings for adjusted historical years' performances
> Cyclical or unpredictable businesses - consider average of history
> Growing/declining businesses - consider weighted average historical method or latest 12 months
- High growth - consider DCF or two-tier model
> Judgment call based on character of business, outlook, predictability, etc.


## Cash Flow Base: Normalizing Cash Flow

- Adjustments needed if long-term growth expected to be different than recent years
$>$ Profitability impact of change in growth
> Confirm proper treatment of tax amortization benefits or other cash flow benefits that may not be in perpetuity
> Determine correct relationship between capital expenditures and depreciation (never permanently a positive number if growing)
> Determine correct relationship between growth and working capital charge to cash flow


## Cap. Exp. vs Depreciation vs Growth

- Capital Exp. vs Depreciation Calculator
> Based on MACRS depreciation schedule
Ratio of Capital Expenditures to Depreciation at Equalibrium

Five year Assets

| Assumed <br> LT Growth |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3.0 \%$ | $105 \%$ | Reciprocal* |

Seven year Assets

| Assumed <br> LT Growth |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $3.0 \%$ | $108 \%$ | Ratio |
| $4.0 \%$ | $110 \%$ | $93 \%$ |
| $5.0 \%$ | $113 \%$ | $91 \%$ |
| $6.0 \%$ | $116 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| $7.0 \%$ | $118 \%$ | $86 \%$ |
| $8.0 \%$ | $121 \%$ | $85 \%$ |
| $9.0 \%$ | $123 \%$ | $83 \%$ |
|  | $81 \%$ |  |

* Reciprocal $=$ Depreciation as \% of Capex

Example: If Depreciation $=\$ 100$ in terminal year then Capex should $=\$ 107$, if mostly 5 -year assets with $4 \%$ growth assumed.

## Working Capital "Calculator"

- Normalizing Working Capital Charge

| HISTORICAL INPUTS: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HISTORICAL SALES: | $\underline{\underline{2000}}$ | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2004}$ |
| RECEIVABLES: | $\$ 60.0$ | $\$ 550.0$ | $\$ 600.0$ | $\$ 600.0$ | $\$ 650.0$ |
| INVENTORY: | $\$ 70.0$ | $\$ 80.0$ | $\$ 80.0$ | $\$ 75.0$ | $\$ 60.0$ |
| PAYABLES: | $\$ 55.0$ | $\$ 70.0$ | $\$ 70.0$ | $\$ 70.0$ | $\$ 70.0$ |
| OTHER CURRENT A. | $\$ 10.0$ | $\$ 20.0$ | $\$ 10.0$ | $\$ 25.0$ | $\$ 65.0$ |
| OTHER CURRENT L. | $\$ 10.0$ | $\$ 9.0$ | $\$ 11.0$ | $\$ 8.0$ | $\$ 12.0$ |


| CALCULATIONS: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| HIST |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | | ANALYST |
| :---: |
| DAYS REC |


| LONG TERM SALES GROWTH ASSUMPTION: | 4.00\% | WORKING CAPITAL AT SALES VOL |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| base sales volume: | \$650.00 | (\$74.8) | Sensitivities: |
| HYPOTHETICAL PRIOR YEAR SALES |  |  | 3\% Growth: \$2.2 |
| ASSUMING GROWTH ASSUMPTION: | \$624.00 | (\$71.8) | 4\% Growth: \$3.0 |
|  |  |  | 5\% Growth: \$3.7 |

BASE WORKING CAPITAL INVESTMENT AT ASSUMED GROWTH RATE:
(\$3.0)
APPLICABLE IN CAPITALIZATION OF CASH FLOWS AND TERMINAL
VALUE CAPITALIZATION MODELS IN DCF

## Capitalization Example: GROWTH RATE

|  | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2005}$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Historical Financials |  |  |  |  |
| Sales | $\$ 625.0$ | $\$ 650.0$ | $\$ 676.0$ |  |
| Income Statement |  |  |  |  |
| Operating Inc. $19.9 \%$ | $\$ 24.7$ | $\$ 129.6$ | $\$ 134.8$ |  |
| A/Tax Net Inc. | $\$ 64.1$ | $\$ 66.6$ | $\$ 69.3$ |  |
| Weightings | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 | Taxes |
| Weighted Average NI |  |  | $\$ 67.6$ |  |
|  |  | $\$ 53.2$ |  |  |
| Weighed Avg. Depreciation Exp. |  | $(\$ 56.9)$ | Cash Flow Items |  |
| Cap. Exp. Normalized (107\%) | $(\$ 3.0)$ | Based On Calculated |  |  |
| Working Cap. Normalized to 4\% Growth | $\underline{\$ 10.8}$ | Estimates |  |  |
| Projected Principal Growth (4\%) | $\$ 71.6$ |  |  |  |


| Weighted Avg. Cash Flow: | $\$ 71.6$ | "Normalized" Cash Flow Base |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| Long-term Growth Rate $(\mathrm{g}):$ | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | Growth Rate |

Cost of Equity (k): $\quad \mathbf{2 0 \%}$ Discount Rate
Value of Equity [1]: $\$ 466 \quad$ Unadjusted Value
[1] Capitalization Model: Gordon Growth Model: CF X $(1+\mathrm{g}) /(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{g})$ CMPIRE

## Long-term Growth Rate

- Captures value - PERPETUITY CASH FLOWS
> Forever is long time
> Must be sustainable on average
- Typically modest
> Inflation/GDP - 3\% to $4 \%$ recently
> Population changes (if applicable)
> Minor productivity improvements (if applicable)
> Ignore Anticipated Business/Asset Acquisitions
- Impact is significant


## Long-term Growth Rate: Impact

x Growth in Earnings or CF Impacts Value in Major Way
» Subtraction from Discount Rate to Derive Multiples
» Impacts Income Approach and Market Approach
x Gordon Growth Model Example:

$$
\frac{\text { Dividends }(1+\text { growth })}{\text { (Equity Rate - growth) }}
$$

Examples:

| $\frac{3 \% \text { Growth }}{}$ | $\underline{6 \% \text { Growth }}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\frac{\$ 100 * 1.03}{(20 \%-3 \%)}=\$ 606$ | $\frac{\$ 100 * 1.06}{(20 \%-6 \%)}=\$ 757$ |
| $17 \%=6.1 \mathrm{X}$ Multiple | $14 \%=7.6 \mathrm{X}$ |

$25 \%$ Increase in Value
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## Capitalization Example: discount rate

|  | $\underline{2003}$ | 2004 | 2005 | Historical Financials |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | \$625.0 | \$650.0 | \$676.0 | Income Statement (Net of Interest \& Taxes) |
| Operating Inc. 19.9\% | \$124.7 | \$129.6 | \$134.8 |  |
| A/Tax Net Inc. | \$64.1 | \$66.6 | \$69.3 |  |
| Weightings | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Weighted Average NI |  |  | \$67.6 |  |
| Weighed Avg. Depreciation Exp. Cap. Exp. Normalized (107\%) |  |  | \$53.2 | Cash Flow Items |
|  |  |  | (\$56.9) |  |
| Working Cap. Normalized to 4\% Growth |  |  | (\$3.0) | Based On Calculated |
| Projected Principal Growth (4\%) |  |  | $\frac{\$ 10.8}{\$ 71.6}$ | Estimates |

Weighted Avg. Cash Flow: $\$ 71.6$ "Normalized" Cash Flow Base

| Long-term Growth Rate (g): | $\mathbf{4 \%}$ | Growth Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Cost of Equity (k): | $20 \%$ | Discount Rate |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Value of Equity [1]: $\quad \$ 466 \quad$ Unadjusted Value
[1] Capitalization Model: Gordon Growth Model: CF X $(1+\mathrm{g}) /(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{g})$ CMPLRE

## Cost of Equity - Spectrum of Returns

- Spectrum of Returns from Financial Markets:
> Risk-free Government Debt Yields: 4\% to 5\%
$>$ Preferred Stock Div. Yields $5 \%$ to $8 \%$
$>$ Corporate Bond Yields 5\% to $10 \%+$
>S\&P 500 Size Company Returns* $12 \%$ to $14 \%$
> Small Public Co. Equity Returns* $17 \%$ to $25 \%$
> Venture Capital Investments* $25 \%$ to $70 \%$
* Long-term averages with many exceptions
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## Determining an Equity Rate

- Build-up Method*
- Capital Asset Pricing Model - See Appendix
> Numerous versions*
- S\&P's (Now Duff \& Phelps') Risk Premium Studies See Appendix
- Others - Arbitrage Pricing Theory
*See Ibbotson Associates Publications
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## Cost of Equity = $k$ : "Build-up Method"

- Basic Rates from Ibbotson Associates SBBI Guides:
> Current Risk Free Rate (20-Year) 4.8\%
$>$ Large Cap Equity Premium 7.2 (1926 to 2004)
$>$ Small Cap Equity Premium $\quad 6.4$ (1926 to 2004)
> Basic Small Cap Stock Rate: $\mathbf{1 8 . 4 \%}$
- Company Adjustments:
> Additional risk for size, product mix, geographic limitations, customer risk, and/or other factors $\underline{2.0 \%}$
$>$ Company specific equity rate $\left(k_{e}\right): \mathbf{2 0 . 4 \%}$ or $\underline{20 \%}$ for examples
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## Capitalization Model Calculation

## Direct to Equity Path:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{PV}_{0} & =\frac{\mathrm{E}_{0} *(1+\mathrm{g})}{(\mathrm{k}-\mathrm{g})} \\
\mathrm{PV}_{0} & =\frac{\$ 71.6 *(1+.04)}{(.20-.04)} \\
& =\$ 465.7 \text { (equity) }
\end{aligned}
$$

Gordon Growth Model
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## Cap Model: Gordon Growth Model

## Direct to Equity Path

$$
\mathrm{PV}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{ECF}_{\mathrm{t}} *\left(1+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}
$$

To Invested Capital:

$$
\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\text { DFCF }_{t} *\left(1+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{WACC}^{2}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}} \quad=$ Value at time t
$\mathrm{ECF}_{t}=$ Equity Cash Flow
DFCF = Debt-free Cash Flow
$\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{e}} \quad=$ Cost of Equity
WACC $=$ Weighted Average Cost of Capital
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}} \quad=$ Long-term normal growth rate
Empire

## WACC is a Bit More Complicated

- Determine appropriate equity rate
- Determine appropriate fixed-rate cost of debt
- Determine appropriate weighting to give to the debt as \% of total capital mix
> Look to industry levels in control situations
> Look to company historical levels - "iterative method"
> Discuss leverage outlook with management
- Generate a Weighted Average Cost of Capital (WACC)
$\mathrm{WACC}=$ Equity Rate X Weighting +
A/tax Long-term Debt Cost X Weighting
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## WACC Calculation - Example

- Inputs
> Cost of Equity: 20\% (at this level of debt)
> Cost of Debt: 7.0\% (fixed-rate, long-term)
> Tax Rate: 40\% (marginal)
> Debt to Capital Ratio: 35\% (per examples)

WACC=Eq. Rate X Weighting $+\mathrm{A} /$ tax Debt Cost X Weighting

$$
14.47 \%=20 \% \text { X } 65 \%+((1-40 \%) \times 7.0 \% \text { X } 35 \%)
$$
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## Capitalization Example:"Debt-free Path"

|  | $\underline{2003}$ | $\underline{2004}$ | $\underline{2005}$ | Historical Financials |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Sales | $\$ 625.0$ | $\$ 650.0$ | $\$ 676.0$ |  |
| Operating Inc. $19.9 \%$ | $\$ 124.7$ | $\$ 129.6$ | $\$ 134.8$ | Income Statement |
| A/Tax Net Inc. | $\$ 74.8$ | $\$ 77.8$ | $\$ 80.9$ | (No Interest Subtracted) |
| Weightings | 1.0 | 2.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Weighted Average NI |  |  | $\$ 78.8$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighed Avg. Depreciation Exp. |  | $\$ 53.2$ | Cash Flow Items |  |
| Cap. Exp. Normalized (107\%) | $(\$ 56.9)$ | Based On Calculated |  |  |
| Working Cap. Normalized to 4\% Growth | $(\$ 3.0)$ | Estimates (no debt adj) |  |  |
| Projected Principal Growth (4\%) | $\underline{\$ 0.0}$ |  |  |  |

Weighted Avg. Debt-free Cash Flow: \$72.1 "Normalized" Cash Flow Base
Long-term Growth Rate: $\quad \mathbf{4 \%}$ Growth Rate
WACC at $35 \%$ D/Capital: $\mathbf{1 4 . 5 \%}$ Discount Rate

| Value of TIC [1]: | $\$ 716$ | Debt Subtraction |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Less Debt: | $\frac{\mathbf{( \$ 2 5 0 )}}{\$ 466}$ | To Get Equity |
| Net Equity (b/Adjustments) | $\$ 1$ |  |

[1] Gordon Growth Model: DFCF X $(1+\mathrm{g}) /($ WACC-g)
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## Discount Rates - Common Errors:

- Mismatches of discount rate with definition of projected cash flow streams (e.g., apply after-tax cash flow discount rate to pretax income)
- Use floating rate cost of debt for company as a surrogate for the fixed-rate borrowing costs
- Use book value of equity to determine debt to total capital (debt + equity) instead of market levels
- Use debt to total capital ratio that reflects control, in a minority interest situation
> Consider an iterative process (see DCF section)
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## Cap Models: Two-Stage Growth Models

Direct to Equity:
$\left.\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{\mathrm{tE}} *\left(1+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{\mathrm{tEq}}}{\left(\mathrm{k}_{\mathrm{e}}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)} * \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}} * \mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)$
To Invested Capital:
$\mathrm{V}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{\mathrm{tIC}} *\left(1+\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{WACC}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}+\frac{\mathrm{NCF}_{\mathrm{tIC}} * \mathrm{H}^{*} *\left(\mathrm{~g}_{\mathrm{n}} \mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{WACC}-\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}\right)}$
$\mathrm{H}=$ half-life of high growth phase $(5 \mathrm{yrs}=2.5)$
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ high growth rate
$\mathrm{g}_{\mathrm{n}}=$ long-term normal growth rate

## Agenda

- Overview
- Paths to Value
- Capitalization of Income Method
- Discounted Cash Flow Method
> Overview/Review
> Projections
> Discount Rate and Terminal Value
- Common Errors
- Premiums \& Discounts

Appendix
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## Discounted Cash Flow: Overview

- Most Versatile of Methods
> Can explicitly forecast future cash flows of almost any financial asset or liability
- Applied Across Many Types of Valuations
> Key in Financial Reporting Valuations
> Tax Reporting
> Transactions and Litigation
- Large Potential for Error or Manipulation, and Misunderstandings as to Impacts of Assumptions


## Discounted Cash Flow: Overview

- DCF Process
> Projected near-term cash flows (until stabilized)
> Determine horizon value (value beyond proj. period)
- Capitalize last year's cash flows w/Gordon Growth Model
- Many other methods
> Discount cash flows \& horizon value to present, at appropriate discount rate (based on "path" to value)


## DCF Overview: When to Use DCF?

- DCF Method Useful When:
> Business in industry amenable to forecasting
- Non-commodity
> When future cash flows expected to be materially different than recent past
- Otherwise redundant with capitalization method
$>$ When history difficult to determine or get
- Divestiture of subsidiary
- Turnaround or startup situations
- Transactions with changed assumptions, etc.


## Overview: Key DCF "Components"

|  | 2006 | $\underline{2007}$ | $\underline{2008}$ | $\underline{2009}$ | $\underline{2010}$ | Projectio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sales | 690.0 | 717.6 | 746.3 | 776.2 | 807.2 | Projection |
| Operating Inc. 19.9\% | 137.6 | 143.1 | 148.9 | 154.8 | 161.0 | Income Statement |
| A/Tax Net Inc. | 82.6 | 85.9 | 89.3 | 92.9 | 96.6 | (Op. Income A/Taxes) |
| Depreciation | 55.0 | 57.2 | 59.5 | 61.9 | 64.3 |  |
| Cap. Exp. | -58.9 | -61.2 | -63.7 | -66.2 | -68.8 | Cash Flow Items |
| Wkg. Cap. | -3.1 | -3.2 | -3.4 | -3.5 | -3.6 | driven by Balance |
| Proj. Debt Service | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0 \\ 75.6 \end{array}$ | 78.6 | $\frac{0.0}{\mathbf{8 1 . 8}}$ | $\frac{0.0}{85.1}$ | $\underline{\mathbf{0 . 0}}$ | Sheet Changes |
| Debt Free CF: | 75.6 | 78.6 | 81.8 | 85.1 | $\frac{878.7}{967.2}$ | Terminal Value \& Cash Flows |
| WACC Rate: (Year end discounting)Long-term Growth Rate |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 14.5 \% \\ 4.0 \% \end{array}$ | WACC Rate |
|  |  |  |  |  | Growth Rate |

Net Present Value Debt Free CF: \$722.3
Less Long-term Debt:
Net Equity Value:
$\$ 250.0$
$\$ 472.3$

Debt-free Value Less Debt and Other Adjs.
[1] Terminal Value Model: Gordon Growth Model: WACC X $(1+\mathrm{g}) /(\mathrm{WACC}) \mathrm{MPIRE}$ Assumes approx. 35\% D/Capital

## WACC Calculation: Iteration Example

- Inputs
$>$ Cost of Equity: 20\% (at this level of debt)
$>$ Cost of Debt: 7.0\% (fixed-rate, long-term)
> Tax Rate: 40\% (marginal)
> Debt to Capital Ratio: 35\% (per examples)
WACC $=$ Eq. Rate X Weighting $+\mathrm{A} /$ tax Debt Cost X Weighting
$14.45 \%=20 \%$ X $65 \%+((1-40 \%) \times 7.0 \%$ X $35 \%)$
- Minority Interest Situations
> First Guess Usually Not Correct

Empire

## WACC Iteration Example*

## Minority Interest Valuation Issue:

Minority interest holder can not impact debt levels so Debt/Cap ratio you start with may not be same as the results the models are giving you!

| Iteration | Initial WACC <br> Debt/Cap | TIC <br> Value |  | Revised <br> Debt/Cap [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \#1 |  | 30/100 | $\$ 671$ | $37 / 100$ |
| $\# 2$ | $37 / 100$ | $\$ 745$ | $34 / 100$ |  |
| $\# 3$ | $34 / 100$ | $\$ 711$ | $35 / 100$ |  |
| $\# 4$ | $35 / 100$ | $\$ 722$ | $35 / 100$ |  |

*Assumes: Debt $=\$ 250$, DCF examples shown earlier
[1] Example: $\$ 250$ debt $/ \$ 671$ TIC $=37 \%$ ratio
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- Difference Between DCF and Cap of CF Values

Value from Debt-free


Value Equity Directly based On Net Equity Cash Flow

| Interest Bearing Debt $-\$ 250$ |
| :---: |

Total Invested Capital Less Debt via DCF


Direct to Equity
Empire
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## DCF Projections: Why Do Full Forecasts?

- Cash Flows are Key Valuation Measure
$>$ Ibbotson return data relates to cash flows
$>$ Cleanest measure of economic income to investors
> Most appraisers underestimate required investment in businesses over time, particularly in the "terminal value" calculations
> Affords appraisers ability to explicitly examine assumptions and their impact on cash flow


## DCF: What to Forecast?

- Type of Analysis Being Done
$>$ Level of value
- Control adjustments to financials
- Minority
$>$ Paths to value
- Direct-to-equity - net of debt service
- Total invested capital

EMPIRE

## Beware of the "Hockey Stick" Forecast
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## Projected Operating Income

- Need solid reasons for rapid changes in revenues and operating income (margin creep)
- Reasonableness Check: Consistent with public company levels or own restated history (particularly if start-up situation with high op. inc. projected later...high tech cos.)
- Calculate/check depreciation \& amortization separately
> May have to revise as analysis proceeds
- Know what went into the projections
> Client projections may intermix key expenses (e.g., int. exp., deprec., owner perks) without breakouts
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## Sources for Insights into Projections

- Management estimates or projections
- Historical performance of subject company
- Historical performance of public, guideline companies
- 10-K discussions on industry trends from guideline companies
- Analyst (e.g., I/B/E/S) estimates for guideline companies or industry
- Government - industry growth estimates
- Internally generated growth - self funding ability


## Balance Sheets - Function in Valuation

- Allows for analyzing and tracking all working capital accounts (including cash)
> Historically
> Projected basis
- Validates capital exp. and depreciation ratios going forward
> Explicit forecast of PP\&E
- Tracks other non-current assets/liabilities levels that the company needs to operate
- Tracks debt assumptions if appropriate


## Balance Sheets - Forecasting Issues

- Minor misalignments in depreciation and capital expenditures potentially cause major issues
- Issue occurs with most client projections

SAMPLE COMPANY

|  |  | 1 | $\underline{2}$ | 3 | 4 | $\underline{5}$ | Terminal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SALES |  | 1,000 | 1,200 | 1,440 | 1,699 | 1,954 | 2,149 |
| Growth |  | 1 | 20\% | 20\% | 18\% | 15\% | $10 \%$ |
| PP\&E |  | 200 | 190 | 178 | 164 | 147 | 127 |
| CAPITAL EXPENDITURE |  | 50 | 60 | 72 | 85 | 98 | 107 |
| \% of Sales | 5\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DEPRECIATION |  | 60 | 72 | 86 | 102 | 117 | 129 |
| $\%$ of Sales | 6\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NET PP\&E |  | 190 | 178 | 164 | 147 | 127 | 114 |
| SALES/NET PP\&E |  | 5.26 | 6.74 | 8.80 | 11.59 | 15.38 | 18.87 |
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## Balance Sheets - Forecasting Issues

- Tricky Areas:
> Debt and working capital
- Short and long-term debt forecasting
- "Path to value" determines treatment
> Depreciation/Amortization
> Excess Assets/Liabilities
> Cash balances
- Requirements of business - rest "distributed" for value
> Terminal (or normalized) year cash flows in high growth to lower growth situations
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## Cash Flow Statement Projections

- Ties projections together so all are functioning properly
- Easy place to spot anomalies in cash flows and trouble shoot unbalanced balance sheet forecasts/histories
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## Application of the Discount Rate

- Generally applied consistently across all projected years
> Can be recalculated annually - where debt expected to fall radically over the projection period
- Discount factors and cash flows adjusted for partial years, where needed
- Terminal Value discounted from end of projection period
- Mid-year convention (vs end-of-year) used by some
> Assumes cash flows received during year instead of at year end
> Many companies do not pay out distributions over year (RE: minority interest situation generally)


## Terminal Value

- Apply Capitalization Model to Normalized Final Year of Projections
> Normalizing removes impacts of higher/lower growth in last year for working capital, capital investments, etc. that are larger or smaller than long-term relationships to profit and cash flows
- See Earlier Discussion for Model Details
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## Income Statements - Common Errors

- "Hockey Stick" projections w/out consideration to history, capital requirements and market realities
> Revenue Rocket
"FREE GROWTH"
Problem
> Margin Creep
Overstates Value
> Off the Books Revenue/Income
- Improper add backs for level of value being considered
- Non-operating asset/liability impacts on earnings not eliminated from income or expenses


## Balance Sheets - Common Errors

- Depreciate PP\&E to negative or insupportably low numbers given growth in revenues over projection period
> Look at NET PP\&E to sales ratio over time to check
> Capital expenditures usually understated for depreciation taken
- Ignore smaller but important working capital accounts (both asset and liabilities)
- Overly aggressive changes in required current assets or liabilities
> Reductions in Accts. Rec. from 60+ days to 30 days or vice versa for Accts. Pay, without adequate reasoning
> Inventory turns adjusted without consideration to margin impacts
- Ignore long-term net asset investments required
- Ignore deferred taxes if income statements not on tax basis


## Empire

## Agenda

- Overview
- Methods and Paths To Value
- Capitalization of Income Method
- Discounted Cash Flows Method
- Premiums \& Discounts

Appendix

## Role of Premiums and Discounts

- Company Values Derived May Need Adjustments
> Non-operating Assets, Liabilities, etc.
> Level of Value
> Size and Rights of Interest Being Valued
> State Laws Regarding Purpose of Valuation
> Other Facts \& Circumstances of Situation


## Premiums and Discounts

- Types of Adjustments
> Control Premiums
$>$ Lack of Control Discounts
> Marketability Discounts
> Key Person Discounts
> Blockage or Restricted Stock Discounts
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## Premiums and Discounts (cont.)

- Control Premium
> Merger/Acquisition data
- Minority Interest Discount
> Comparable company valuation equivalent for minority interest
> Markdown of control premium
- $25 \%$ premium $=20 \%$ minority discount (25/125)
- $35 \%$ premium $=26 \%$ minority discount (35/135)


## Premiums and Discounts (cont.)

- Lack of Marketability Discount
> Pre-IPO studies
> Private placement studies
$>$ Cost of "going public"
> Hedging Costs
- Key Man Discount
- Blockage / Restricted Stock Discounts, etc.


# Appendix 
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## Capital Asset Pricing Model: Equity Rate

$$
\begin{aligned}
E\left(R_{i}\right)= & R_{f}+\left(B X R P_{m}\right)+R P_{s}+R P_{u} \\
E\left(R_{i}\right) & =\text { Expected Rate of Return on Common Equity } \\
R_{f} & =\text { Rate of Return on Risk Free Security } \\
B & =\text { Beta (many sources all different) } \\
R P_{m} & =\text { Risk Premium (S\&P 500) over } R_{f} \\
R P_{s} & =\text { Risk Premium over } R P_{m} \text { for size } \\
R P_{u} & =\text { Company specific (unsystematic) Risk }
\end{aligned}
$$

## S\&P/Duff \& Phelps Risk Premium Studies

- Grabowski \& King Return Studies
> Based on company characteristics for size rather than betas for adjusting size premiums
> Size Measures:

| Market Value of Equity | Book Value of Equity |
| :--- | :--- |
| 5-Year Average Net Income | Market Value of Inv. Capital |
| Total Assets | 5-Year Average EBITDA |
| Sales | Number of Employees |

> Useful and available through Ibbotson Associates
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